Self-awareness and the origin of human sexuality is linked to the development of mankind itself, well documented by modern genetic paleoanthropological investigations into mitochondrial Eve and Y-chromosome Adam. The hominid lineage has recently experienced a modern Renaissance with rapid expansion in our genetic knowledge about its evolution within Africa, first hypothesized by Charles Darwin. Descendants of *Homo neanderthalis* and *Homo erectus*, the two surviving species of the Lake Toba supereruption of 74,000 BCE, migrated to settle in the fertile lands of the Mid Eastern ‘Levant’ region in present day Israel. The archeological evidence of cultures in the Fertile Crescent before 3000 BCE is scant, largely derived from analyses of bones, shards, funereal garments, and artwork. How these people lived, and how they thought of themselves in the world, is similarly vague having existed thousands of years before the earliest hieroglyphic or Sumerian writings.

The earliest archeological excavations in the region were undertaken by western historians including Dorothy Garrod and her mentor Abbe H Breuil, a wily spelunker and Cambridge don who was said to “explore impossible caves in a Roman collar and bathing dress”. Garrod was the daughter of a physician and a family of scientists, traveling early in life to the Mediterranean, and being amongst the first in Britain to study paleo-lithology, the study of ancient...
rocks and rock sculpture. Garrod excavated many sites in the Middle East and discovered the Natufian culture, peoples who had settled in an area outside present day Jerusalem about 15,000 BCE- 11,500 BCE. Garrod’s comprehensive efforts led to the preservation of many tools, objects, and skeletal remains that greatly advanced the understanding of Natufian social structures. Breuil found in the area a small statuette sculpted out of calcite, correctly identified as Natufian in origin, and named ‘Ain Sakhri’ after the cave in which it was found.(4) The small object depicts two intertwined, human figures engaged in an embrace. “The faces are featureless, the heads being distinguished by a groove both in the outline of the sculpture and on the broad surfaces.”(6) The stone, and many of Garrod’s photographs and findings, were eventually donated to museums in Britain and France but the Ain Sakhri retained a special significance. Our aim was to explore the seminal work of Dorothy Garrod and how the Ain Sakhri statuette portrays a self-awareness of sexuality at the dawn of human kind.

SOURCES
We accessed all available secondary source readings on Dorothy Garrod, her work, the Natufian cultures, and the Ain Sakhri stone. We also accessed the digital archives of the Pitts River Museum (Oxford), which contains the Dorothy Garrod Photographic Archive, the Mathurin collection at the Musée des Antiquités Nationales (St Germain-en-Laye, France), the British Museum (London), and digital.humanities.ox.ac.uk.

RESULTS
The Professor
Dorothy Anne Elizabeth Garrod (1892-1968) was the fourth child and only daughter of Sir Archibald and Lady Laura Garrod (Figure 2). Her father was the then Regius Professor of Medicine at Oxford following the death of Osler. Her paternal grandfather, Sir Alfred Baring Garrod (1819-1907) was known for his research work on arthritis and was Physician Extraordinary to Queen Victoria.(7) There is evidence Dorothy was exposed to archeology and anthropology at an early age, spending part of her youth with her father stationed

Figure 1. (Left) Dorothy Garrod (1892-1968), born into a household of scholars, became one of the great early 20th century paleo-archeologists, becoming the first female Professor at Cambridge in 1952. Her discovery of the Natufian people rewrote the age of sophisticated human cultures.(Public Domain) (Right) Abbé Henri Breuil (1877-1961), Garrod’s mentor, who discovered the Ain Sakhri statue and in correctly identified the artwork as Natufian in origin (Photo by Garrod, 1931, Pitts River Museum, University of Oxford).
on Malta during World War I (the war which claimed all three of her older brothers). She worked with famed anthropologist Sir Themistocles Zammit (1864-1935) and entered Newnham College to study history and classics in 1913 since there was no current degree in archeology at that time. She then volunteered with the Ministry of Munitions in the War effort. When her father was appointed Regius Professor at Oxford, Dorothy promptly registered for the university diploma course in anthropology with Robert Ranulph Marett (1866-1943). She became proficient in early human technologies, such as in flint-work in Lower Paleolithic and Middle Paleolithic societies. She worked with the great paleoarcheologist Abbé Henri Breuil (1877-1961) and was introduced to Pierre Teilhard de Chardin (1881-1955) and converted to Roman Catholicism. She wrote her first book, *The Upper Paleolithic Age in Britain*, in 1926. Garrod draws our attention, however, for her discovery and identification of the Natufian culture (c.15,000 BCE- 11,500 BCE), first suspected in the Shukbah and el-Wad caves and terraces, her finds placed the Natufian peoples in the 500,000 year archeological arc of humanity transitioning from nomadic hunter-gatherers to the agrarian societies of the early Iron Age. (10-14).

In 1928, Garrod began to investigate several caves in Palestine, including the Shukbah cave where she identified Mousterian and sterile deposits containing Mesolithic industry that she named ‘Natufian’. “Field conditions were harsh,” wrote the Garrod scholar PJ Smith in 2000. “The crew endured uncomfortable, primitive living conditions, terrible heat, sticky humidity, limited and contaminated water, faulty equipment, dust, hot ‘Khamseen’ winds, violent electrical storms, torrential rains and exposure to serious disease.” Garrod carefully logged and photographed assemblages of stone tools included sickle blades for harvesting, heretofore unknown, lunates (hunting tools) and perforators for drilling holes, as well as mortars and pestles for food preparation. She next turned her attention to her first discovery, the Zarzi cave, and then became interested in the Mount Carmel area of cliffs where her colleague Charles Lambert had asked her to excavate three caves that led to her finding the Natufian deposits. It was there that her mentor, Abbé Henri Breuil, discovered a small calcite statue, known as the ‘Ain Sakhrî’ figurine, that dated roughly to 9,000 BCE and identified by Breuil to be from Garrod’s Natufian culture (Figure 4).
Garrod was the first pre-historian, and the first woman, to be elected to a professorship (Disney Professor of Archaeology) at Cambridge University, a post which she held from 1939 until 1952. “Garrod was a modest, shy person and appears to have been uncomfortable with the attention her election elicited,” wrote PJ Smith (4). Her appointment was ground-breaking but Cambridge appeared to be unprepared. Woman were not included in strategic meetings of college governance or even to socialize in the dining hall with other, male professors. (4) Garrod was also ground-breaking in her modern social views. Unlike many British and western peers, she viewed with great value the knowledge and experiences of local denizens around her digs. Her Mount Carmel work, for example, was composed, almost entirely, of Arabian women; she made it a point to include an important colleague, Francis R Petre, who was openly gay (Figure 4). Garrod was a prolific, and gifted, photographer and used the medium to document her many archeological sites, and the men and women she engaged in that work. Her Mount Carmel work, for example, was composed, almost entirely, of Arabian women; she made it a point to include an important colleague, Francis R Petre, who was openly gay (Figure 4). Garrod was a prolific, and gifted, photographer and used the medium to document her many archeological sites, and the men and women she engaged in that work. Dorothy Garrod died in 1968, in Cambridge, bequeathing her library to Newnham College. It seemed that her private and unpublished papers had not survived. A widely believed myth arose that they had been destroyed, perhaps burnt even by Professor Garrod herself (15). In 1986, her original black and white negatives were donated to the Pitt Rivers Museum by her prehistorian colleague and executor Suzanne Cassou de Saint Mathurin. It was in 1996, however, that PJ Smith discovered Garrod’s original handwritten field notes, notebooks, site plans, and section drawings from excavations that had been unknowingly kept at the Musée des Antiquités Nationales in suburban Paris. In 2019, the Dorothy Garrod building was dedicated at her alma mater, Newnham College, where a previously unknown recording of her voice was played nearly 50 years after her death.(16) Garrod’s work raised awareness of the origins of humankind while breaking many of the boundaries of modern society. As said by Professor Mina Weinstein (University of Haifa), Garrod was among the first who showed that “Europe was not the center of the world.”(17)

The Ain Sakhri Lovers
The Ain Sakhri figurine is currently archived in the British Museum, entitled “the Ain Sakhri lovers”, purchased via Sotheby’s from the estate of the French diplomat and prehistorian Rene V Neuville (1899-1952) in 1958 (object # 1958,1007.1). At more than 10,000 years of age, the statuette is remarkably well preserved. Its discoverers conjectured that it had “never been above ground, where it would have faded and worn away; on the contrary it bore ash marks, evidence of an existing undisturbed level, but not of clay as it would have been
the case if in a cave.“(6) The sculpture measures 102 mm in height, 63 mm wide, with a depth of 39 mm and weighs 343 grams. The piece fits comfortably in the palm. It was sculptured to show a couple in coitus as well as being a phallic illustration when viewed from above or en face. The artist utilized a pointed, probable stone chisel to remove pieces and make the delicate, copulating figures. “The surviving natural surface on the cobble is bruised with chattermarks indicating that it came from the bed of a stream where it had bumped together with other stones. The sculptor utilised (sic) the natural heart-shaped outline of the stone to pick out the outline of a couple making love face to face in a sitting position. This was done using a ‘picking’ technique using a stone chisel with the stone or antler hammer to reduce the calcite surface by percussion so that the outlines of the figures appear in low relief. When first made the picked line would appear lighter than (the) natural surface of the cobble enhancing the visual impact of the sculpture.”(18)

The two entwined figures are locked in an intimate coital scene, presumably a female and a male, arms and legs wrapped around one another in a sitting position. Although no facial features are shown, the lips are presumably touching and the arms of one figure bend up at the elbows embracing the other figure with the wrists touching just below its shoulders. The buttocks of the embraced figure are above the feet of the other and the knees are raised suggesting genital contact. The Natufian were one of the first cultures to begin herding sheep and goats, suggesting that this figure could represent an interest in fertility. Furthermore, Hebert Basedow (1881-1933) and Rene Neuville (1889-1952) hypothesized that the figure is similar to the sexual acts depicted in an ancient Australian Aboriginal seated copulation position in 1927.(6)

Ultimately, Garrod and her mentors illustrated the global significance of the Natufian culture and their unique depictions of sex and fertility. “(The Ain Sakhri) is the oldest known sculpture of people making love,” wrote its curator. “It is easy to recognize the timeless thrill and comfort of human intimacy.” (18) No faces are discernible to depict this as a heterosexual couple so its symbolic applicability to all human relationships has a particular modern day relevance. The figurine, in fact, has been part of the “Desire, Love, Identity:...
exploring LGBTQ histories” exhibitions that toured England throughout 2018-2019 as well as the BBC’s programming on the “History of the World in 100 objects”. As the British museum curator observed, “(the Ain Sakhri lovers have) always been popular with visitors and acquired a new modern symbolism during the period of lockdown against Corona Virus in 2020, when it epitomized the simple but essential need for the reassurance of a hug that had to be avoided at that time.”(18)

CONCLUSIONS
In 1928, Dorothy Garrod provided compelling evidence to the early field of paleoanthropology of a new era of humanity existing between 12,000-9000 BCE that she named Natufian. She would go on to document numerous aspects of this prehistoric culture, transitioning from a primary hunter-gatherer nomadic society to the agrarian, domesticated societies of the early Iron Age. Garrod’s work made it possible to identify the Natufian origin of the Ain Sakhri figurine. Social anthropologists hypothesize that sexuality may be best understood through its social and political setting and that such modern concepts may be applicable to pre-historic, paleolithic cultures as well.(19) The Ain Sakhri figurine may be one of the few surviving artefacts of the Natufian people but demonstrates that the human awareness of the social power of sexuality existed more than 10,000 years ago.(20). Garrod retired in 1952 and her colleagues presented her with this fitting scroll: “To Dorothy Annie Elizabeth Garrod ... indefatigable explorer of antiquity, who for thirteen years professed the science of archaeology in Cambridge with such great learning, such great splendour, such great friendliness and humanity...” The scroll ended with the epistle by Horace ‘caelum non animum mutant, qui trans [mare] currunt’ - ‘those who hasten across the sea, change their horizon, not their soul.’”(4)
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